arXiv:0905.0699v2 [math.CV] 8 May 2009

ON QUASICONFORMAL HARMONIC MAPS BETWEEN SURFACES

DAVID KALAJ

ABSTRACT. It is proved the following theorem, if w is a quasiconformal harmonic mappings between two Riemann surfaces with smooth boundary and approximate analytic metrics, then w is a quasi-isometry with respect to internal metrics. If the surfaces are subsets of Euclidean spaces, then w is quasi-isometry with respect to Euclidean metrics.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and notation 1
2. Preliminaries 4
3. Main theorem 5
4. Applications 13
References 16

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

By $\mathbb{U}$ we denote the unit disk, and by S^1 is denoted its boundary.

Let (M,σ) and (N,ρ) be Riemann surfaces with metrics σ and ρ, respectively. We say that a mapping w between Riemann surfaces (M,σ) and (N,ρ) is a quasi-isometry, if there exist constants $q>0$ and $Q>0$, such that

$$qd_\sigma(z_1,z_2)\le d_\rho(w(z_1),w(z_2))\le Qd_\sigma(z_1,z_2), z_1,z_2\in M,$$

where

$$d_\varrho(z_1,z_2)=\inf_{z_1,z_2\in\gamma}\int_\gamma \varrho(z)|dz| \quad \varrho\in\{\sigma,\rho\}.$$

If $f:(M,\sigma)\to(N,\rho)$ is a C^2, then f is said to be harmonic with respect to ρ (abbreviated ρ-harmonic) if

$$f_{z\bar z}+(\log\rho^2)_w\circ f f_z\, f_{\bar z}=0, \tag{1.1}$$

where z and w are the local parameters on M and N respectively.

Also f satisfies (1.1) if and only if its H. Hopf differential

$$\Psi=\rho^2\circ f f_z\overline{f_{\bar z}} \tag{1.2}$$

is a holomorphic quadratic differential on M.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C55, Secondary 31C05.

Key words and phrases. Quasiconformal harmonic maps, Jordan domains, Lipschitz condition.

For $g : M \mapsto N$ the energy integral is defined by

$$E[g,\rho] = \int_M \rho^2 \circ f(|\partial g|^2 + |\bar\partial g|^2) dV_\sigma, \tag{1.3}$$

where ∂g, and $\bar\partial g$ are the partial derivatives taken with respect to the metrics ϱ and σ, and dV_σ is the volume element on (M,σ). Assume that energy integral of f is bounded. Then f is harmonic if and only if f is a critical point of the corresponding functional where the homotopy class of f is the range of this functional.

If σ is the Euclid metric and w is harmonic mapping defined on a simply connected domain Ω, then $w = g + \overline{h}$, where g and h are analytic in Ω. If w is an orientation preserving homeomorphism, then by Lewy theorem ([19]), $J_w(z) := |g'|^2 - |h'|^2 > 0$. This infer that the analytic mapping $a = \frac{h'}{g'}$ is bounded by 1 in Ω. Moreover, if w is a Euclidean harmonic mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ onto convex Jordan domain Ω, mapping the boundary $\partial\mathbb{U}$ onto $\partial\Omega$ homeomorphically, then w is a diffeomorphism. This is an old theorem of Rado, Knesser and Choquet ([17]). This theorem has been extended in various directions. The first one is due to Shoen an Yau [41]. They showed that, if f is a harmonic map between two compact Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature and f is a homotopy equivalence, then f is a diffeomorphism. Then this result has been extended by Jost ([7]) as follows. Let M and N be suitably smooth Riemannian manifolds, M simply connected with boundary $\partial M \in C^{2,\alpha}$ and with interior Ω, N without boundary. Let $\psi : \partial M \to N$ be a continuous mapping. Consider the Dirichlet problem of finding a harmonic map φ: $M \to N$ with the given boundary values: $\varphi|\partial M = \psi$. Suppose that $\psi(\partial M)$ is contained in a geodesic ball $B_M(p)$ with: (i) radius $M < \pi/(2\sqrt{\kappa})$ where $\kappa > 0$ is an upper bound for the sectional curvatures of $B_M(p)$; (ii) the cut locus of the center p disjoint from $B_M(p)$. Jost proved that if M and N are two-dimensional and $\psi : \partial M \to N$ is a homeomorphism onto a sufficiently smooth convex curve, the above solution φ is a homeomorphism in N and diffeomorphism in Ω.

This theorem has been extended to the solutions of quasilinear degenerate elliptic equations of the type of the p-Laplacian, Alessandrini and Sigalotti ([1]).

In private conversation I was told that O. Martio gave the first counterexample of extension of Rado's theorem to higher dimensions ([25]). Let us also quote the recent interesting counterexamples by Melas [26] and by Laugesen [21] to the extension of Rados theorem to higher dimensions.

Let $0 \le k < 1$ and let $K = \frac{1+k}{1-k}$. An orientation preserving diffeomorphism w between two Riemann mappings is called a $K-$ quasiconformal (abbreviated q.c.) if $\frac{|w_{\bar z}|}{|w_z|} \le k$ (in local coordinates). See [2] for the definition of arbitrary quasiconformal mapping between plane domains, or Riemannian surfaces. In this paper we deal with q.c. ρ harmonic mappings. See [24] for the pioneering work on this topic and see [30] for related earlier results. In some recent papers, have been done a lot of work on this class of mappings ([16]- [8], [37], [36]). In these papers is established the Lipschitz and the co-Lipschitz character of q.c. harmonic mappings between plane domains with certain boundary conditions. The most important results of these papers is that, Euclidean harmonic q.c. mappings between plane domains with smooth boundary are Euclidean quasi-isometries (and consequently hyperbolic quasi-isometries). Notice that, in general, quasi-symmetric selfmappings of the unit circle do not provide quasiconformal harmonic extension to the unit disk. In [24] is given an example of C^1 diffeomorphism of the unit circle onto itself, whose Euclid harmonic extension is not Lipschitz.

In contrast to Euclidean metric, in the case of hyperbolic metric, if $f : S^1 \mapsto S^1$ is C^1 diffeomorphism, or more general if $f : S^{n-1} \mapsto S^{m-1}$ is a mapping with a non-vanishing energy, then its hyperbolic harmonic extension is C^1 up to the boundary ([22]) and ([23]). On the other hand Wan ([39]) showed that q.c. hyperbolic harmonic mapping between smooth domains need be also hyperbolic quasi-isometries (but in general they are not Euclidean quasi-isometries, neither its boundary values need not be absolutely continuous). In connection with that Schoen conjectured that, every quasi-symmetric selfmapping of the unit circle, provides a hyperbolic-harmonic q.c. self-mapping extension of the unit disk.

We are interested on those ρ-harmonic, whose metric is approximately analytic. This means that

$$|(\log \rho^2)_w| = \frac{|\nabla \rho|}{\rho} \le M$$

where M is a constant. Under this condition, if for example the domain of ρ is the unit disk, there hold the double inequality

$$\rho(0)e^{-M} \le \rho(w) \le \rho(0)e^{M}. \tag{1.4}$$

Such metrics are called approximately analytic [31]. The spherical metric

$$\rho(w) = \frac{2}{1+|w|^2}$$

is approximately analytic, but the hyperbolic metric

$$\lambda(w) = \frac{2}{1-|w|^2}$$

is not. Let us mention the following important fact. (1.1) is equivalent to the following equation, which can be directly extend to the dimensions bigger than 2:

$$\Delta u^i + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,k,\ell=1}^{2} \Gamma^i_{k\ell}(u) D_\alpha u^k D_\beta u^\ell,\ i = 1,2 \quad (f = (u^1, u^2)) \tag{1.5}$$

where ${\Gamma^i}_{k\ell}$ are Christoffel Symbols of the metric ρ (or of a metric tensor (g_{jk})):

$${\Gamma^i}_{k\ell} = \frac{1}{2} g^{im}\left(\frac{\partial g_{mk}}{\partial x^\ell} + \frac{\partial g_{m\ell}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{k\ell}}{\partial x^m}\right) = \frac{1}{2} g^{im}(g_{mk,\ell} + g_{m\ell,k} - g_{k\ell,m}),$$

and the matrix (g^{jk}) is an inverse of the metric tensor (g_{jk}).

It can be easy seen that, since (1.5) and (1.1) are equivalent, a metric ρ is approximate analytic if and only if Christoffel Symbols are bounded.

Let P be the Poisson kernel, i.e. the function

$$P(z,e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1-|z|^2}{|z-e^{i\theta}|^2},$$

and let G be the Green function of the unit disk, i.e. the function

$$G(z,w) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\log\left|\frac{1-z\overline{w}}{z-w}\right| \quad z,w \in \mathbb{U},\ z \neq w. \tag{1.6}$$

Let $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded integrable function on the unit circle S^1 and let $g : U \to \mathbb{C}$ be continuous. The solution of the equation $\Delta w = g$ (in weak sense) in the unit disk satisfying the boundary condition $w|_{S^1} = f \in L^1(S^1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= P[f](z) - G[g](z) \\ &:= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} P(z,e^{i\varphi})f(e^{i\varphi})d\varphi - \int_{\mathbb{U}} G(z,\omega)g(\omega)\,dm(\omega), \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

$|z| < 1$, where $dm(\omega)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in the plane. It is well known that if f and g are continuous in S^1 and in $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ respectively, then the mapping $w = P[f] - G[g]$ has a continuous extension $\tilde{w}$ to the boundary, and $\tilde{w} = f$ on S^1. See [33, pp. 118–120].

In this paper we will prove that, if (M,σ) and (N,ρ) are Riemann surfaces with approximate analytic metrics σ and ρ and $w : M \to N$ is a q.c. harmonic, then w is a quasi-isometry.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The following important lemma lies behind our main result.

Proposition 2.1 (The Carleman-Hartman-Wintner lemma). [27] *Let $\varphi \in C^1(D)$ be a real-valued function satisfying the differential inequality*

$$|\Delta\varphi| \leq C(|\nabla\varphi| + |\varphi|)$$

i.e.,

$$\Delta\varphi = -W, \qquad |W(z)| \leq C(|\nabla\varphi| + |\varphi|)$$

$(z \in D)$*, in the weak sense. Suppose that D contains the origin. Assume that $\varphi(z) = o(|z|^n)$ as $|z| \to 0$ for some $n \in N_0$. Then*

$$\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\varphi_z(z)}{z^n}$$

exists.

The following proposition is a consequence of Carleman-Hartman-Wintner lemma.

Proposition 2.2. [43, Proposition 7.4.3.] *Let $\{w_k(z)\}$ be a sequence of functions of class $C^1(D)$ satisfying the differential inequality*

$$|\Delta w_k| \leq C(|\nabla w_k| + |w_k|) \tag{2.1}$$

where C is independent of k. Assume that

$$w_k(z) \to \nabla w_0(z),\ \ \nabla w_k(z) \to w_0(z), \tag{2.2}$$

uniformly in D *(*$k \to \infty$*). Assume in addition*

$$w_0(z) = o(|z|) \text{ as } |z| \to 0, \tag{2.3}$$

and that

$$\nabla w_k(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } k \text{ and } z \in D. \tag{2.4}$$

Then $w_0(z) \equiv 0$*.*

Proposition 2.3. [12] *Let* w *be a quasiconformal* C^2 *diffeomorphism from a bounded plane domain* D *with* $C^{1,\alpha}$ *boundary onto a bounded plane domain* Ω *with* $C^{2,\alpha}$ *boundary. If there exist constants* a *and* b *such that*

$$|\Delta w| \leq a|\nabla w|^2 + b\,, \quad z \in D, \tag{2.5}$$

then w *has bounded partial derivatives in* D*. In particular it is a Lipschitz mapping in* D*.*

Example 2.4. [9] Let $w(z) = |z|^\alpha z$, with $\alpha > 1$. Then w is twice differentiable $(1+\alpha)-$quasiconformal self-mapping of the unit disk. Moreover

$$\Delta w = \alpha(2+\alpha)\frac{|z|^\alpha}{\bar{z}} = g.$$

Thus $g = \Delta w$ is continuous and bounded by $\alpha(2+\alpha)$. However w^{-1} is not Lipschitz, because $l(\nabla w)(0) = |w_z(0)| - |w_{\bar{z}}(0)| = 0$. This means that the inequality (3.1) in the main theorem cannot be replaced by (2.5).

3. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 3.1. *If* w *is a quasiconformal mapping of the unit disk onto itself, satisfying the condition*

$$|\Delta w| \leq B(|\nabla w|^2 + |w|) \tag{3.1}$$

then, w *is bi-Lipschitz.*

Lemma 3.2. *If* w*,* $w(0) = 0$*, satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, then there exists a constant* $C(K)$ *such that*

$$\frac{1-|z|^2}{1-|w(z)|^2} \leq C(K) \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Take

$$\mathcal{QC}(\mathbb{U}, B, K) = \{w : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{U} : w(0) = 0, |\Delta w| \leq B|\nabla w|^2, w \text{ is } K.q.c.\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{QC}(\mathbb{U}, B, K)$ is a normal family.

Let us choose A such that the function φ_u, $u \in \mathcal{QC}(\mathbb{U}, B, K)\}$ defined by

$$\varphi_u(z) = -\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{A}e^{A(|u(z)|-1)}$$

is subharmonic in $\varrho := 4^{-K} \leq |z| \leq 1$.

Take

$$s = \frac{u}{|u|}, \quad t = |u|.$$

As $u = s\rho$ is K quasiconformal selfmapping of the unit disk with $u(0) = 0$, by Mori's theorem ([40]) it satisfies the doubly inequality:

$$\left|\frac{z}{4^{1-1/K}}\right|^K \leq \rho \leq 4^{1-1/K}|z|^{1/K}. \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.3) for $\varrho \leq |z| \leq 1$ where

$$\varrho := 4^{-K} \tag{3.4}$$

we have

$$\rho \geq \rho_0 := 4^{1-K^2-K}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now we choose A such that

$$\frac{4A\rho_0^2}{K^2} + 4 - 4BK^2 \geq 0.$$

Take

$$\chi(\rho) = -\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{A}e^{A(\rho-1)}.$$

Then

$$\Delta\varphi_u(z) = \chi''(\rho)|\nabla|u||^2 + \chi'(\rho)\Delta|u|. \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore

$$\Delta|u| = 2|\nabla s|^2 + 2\left\langle \Delta u, s\right\rangle. \tag{3.7}$$

Then

$$\Delta\varphi_u(z) \geq 0, \quad 4^{-K} \leq |z| \leq 1.$$

Define

$$\gamma(z) = \sup\{\varphi_u(z) : u \in \mathcal{QC}(\mathbb{U}, B, K)\}.$$

Prove that γ is subharmonic for $4^{-K} \leq |z| \leq 1$. In order to do so, we will first prove that γ is continuous. For $z, z' \in \mathbb{U}$ and $u \in \mathcal{QC}(\mathbb{U}, B, K)$, according to Mori's theorem (see e.g. [2]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\varphi_u(z) - \varphi_u(z')| &= \frac{1}{A}|(e^{A(|u(z)|-1)} - e^{A(|u(z')|-1)})|\\ &\leq |u(z) - u(z')| \leq 16||z - z'|^{1/K}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|\gamma(z) - \gamma(z')| \leq 16||z - z'|^{1/K}.$$

This means in particular that γ is continuous. It follows that γ, is subharmonic as the supremum of subharmonic functions (see e.g. [32, Theorem 1.6.2]).

If $|z_1| = |z_2|$ then $\gamma(z_1) = \gamma(z_2)$. In order to prove the last statement we do as follows. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some $u \in \mathcal{QC}(\mathbb{U}, B, K)$ such that

$$\varphi_u(z_2) \leq \gamma(z_2) = \varphi_u(z_2) + \varepsilon.$$

Now $u_1(z) = u(\frac{z_2}{z_1}z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{QC}(\mathbb{U}, B, K)$. Therefore

$$\varphi_{u_1}(z_1) \leq \gamma(z_1) = \varphi_u(z_1) + \varepsilon.$$

As ε is arbitrary and as $u_1(z_1) = u(z_2)$ it follows $\gamma(z_1) = \gamma(z_2)$.

This yields that

$$\gamma(z)=g(r)=-\frac{1}{A}+\frac{1}{A}e^{A(h(r)-1)},$$

and as $\Delta\gamma\geq 0$ we have

$$g''(r)+\frac{g'(r)}{r}\geq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.3)

$$g(4^{-K})\leq -\frac{1}{A}+\frac{1}{A}e^{A(4^{-1/K}-1)}<0=g(1),$$

it follows that γ is nonconstant. Since γ is subharmonic non-constant function, it follows that that $g'(r)>0$. From (3.8) we obtain

$$(\log(g'(r)\cdot r))'\geq 0$$

and therefore $g'(r)\cdot r$ is increasing, which means in particular that,

$$g'(1)>0.$$

Notice that, the last inequality is also a consequence of E. Hopf boundary point lemma, see e.g. [5].

Therefore,

$$-\frac{1}{A}+\frac{1}{A}e^{A(|u(z)|-1)}\leq -\frac{1}{A}+\frac{1}{A}e^{A(h(r)-1)}$$

i.e.

$$u(z)\leq h(r), |z|=r, u\in\mathcal{QC}(\mathbb{U},B,K),$$

where

$$h(r)<1 \text{ and } h'(1)>0.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1-|z|^2}{1-|u(z)|^2}\leq C(K).$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let z_n be arbitrary sequence of complex numbers from the unit disk. Assume that w satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Let p_n and q_n be Möbius transformations, of the unit disk onto itself such that, $p(w(z_n))=0$ and $q(0)=z_n$. Take $w_n=p_n(w(q_n(z)))$.*

Then, up to some subsequence, which be also denoted by (w_n) we have

a)

$$|\nabla w_n|\leq\frac{C_1(K)}{1-|z|}$$

b)

$$|\Delta w_n|\leq\frac{C_2(K)}{(1-|z|)^2}$$

c)

$$|\Delta w_n| \le \frac{C_3(K)}{(1-|z|)^2}|w_{nz}|\cdot|w_{n\bar z}|.$$

Proof. For example

$$p_n(w) = \frac{w - w(z_n)}{1 - w\overline{w(z_n)}} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$q_n(z) = \frac{z + z_n}{1 + z\overline{z_n}}. \tag{3.10}$$

It is evident that

$$w_n(z) = p_n \circ u \circ q_n$$

is a $K-$q.c. of the unit disk onto itself. By [4] for example, a subsequence of w_n, also denoted by w_n, converges uniformly to a K-quasiconformal w_0 on the closed unit disk onto itself.

Firs of all

$$(w_n)_z = p_n' w_q q_n' \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$(w_n)_{\bar z} = p_n' w_{\bar q}\overline{q_n'}. \tag{3.12}$$

Using now

$$w_{z\bar z} + 2\partial \log \varrho \circ w \; w_z w_{\bar z} = 0,$$

we derive

$$\begin{aligned}(w_n)_{z\bar z} &= ((p_n \circ w \circ q_n)_z)_{\bar z}\\ &= (p_n' w_q q_n')_{\bar z} = p_n'' w_{\bar q}\overline{q_n'} w_q q_n' + p_n' w_{q\bar q}\overline{q_n'} q_n'\\ &= p_n''|q_n'|^2 w_q w_{\bar q} + p_n'|q_n'|^2 w_{q\bar q}\\ &= \left(\frac{p_n''}{{p_n'}^2} - \frac{2\partial\log\varrho}{p_n'}\right) w_{nq} w_{n\bar q}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|(w_n)_{z\bar z}| \le |q_n'|^2 \left(|p_n''| + 2|p_n'||\partial\log\varrho|\right)|w_q||w_{\bar q}| \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$w_{nz\bar z} + \left(-\frac{p_n''}{{p_n'}^2} + \frac{2\partial\log\varrho}{p_n'}\right) w_{nz} w_{n\bar z} = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Now we have

$$|q_n'| = \frac{1-|z_n|^2}{|1+z\overline{z_n}|^2}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$|p_n'| = \frac{1-|u(z_n)|^2}{|1-u(q_n(z))\overline{u(z_n)}|^2} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$|p_n''| = \frac{(1-|u(z_n)|^2)|u(z_n)|}{|1-u(q_n(z))\overline{u(z_n)}|^3}. \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.11)- (3.17) and (3.2) we obtain

$$|(w_n)_z| \le \frac{C(K)}{1-|z|} \text{ and } |(w_n)_{\bar z}| \le \frac{C(K)}{1-|z|}. \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$|q_n'|^2\left(|p_n''| + 2|p_n'||\partial \log \varrho|\right) \le 2\frac{(1-|z_n|^2)^2}{(1-|z|)^4}\left(\frac{1+|\partial \log \varrho|}{(1-|u(z_n)|^2)^2}\right). \tag{3.19}$$

Combining (3.2), (3.13) and (3.19) we obtain

$$|(w_n)_{z\bar z}| \le \frac{2C(K)^2(1+|\partial \log \varrho|)}{(1-|z|)^4}. \tag{3.20}$$

Let us estimate the sequence

$$S_n = -\frac{p_n''}{{p_n'}^2} + \frac{2\partial \log \varrho}{p_n'}.$$

Firs of all

$$\frac{p_n''}{{p_n'}^2} = \frac{2\overline{w(z_n)}(1-w_n(z)\overline{w(z_n)})}{1-|w(z_n)|^2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\frac{p_n''}{{p_n'}^2}| &= \frac{2\overline{|w(z_n)|}|1-w_n(z)\overline{w(z_n)}|}{1-|w(z_n)|^2} \\ &\le \frac{2\overline{|w(z_n)|}|(w(\frac{z+z_n}{1+z\overline{z_n}})-w(z_n))\overline{w(z_n)}|}{1-|w(z_n)|^2} + 2 \end{aligned}$$

To continue observe that

$$|w(\frac{z+z_n}{1+z\overline{z_n}}) - w(z_n)| \le |\nabla w|_\infty \frac{|z|(1-|z_n|^2}{|1+\overline{z_n}z|} \le |\nabla w|_\infty \frac{|z|(1-|z_n|^2)}{1-|z|}. \tag{3.21}$$

Thus, by using (3.2)

$$|\frac{p_n''}{{p_n'}^2}| \le 2 + \frac{|z||\nabla w|_\infty}{(1-|z|)^2}\frac{1-|z_n|^2}{1-|w(z_n)|^2} \le 2 + C(K)|\nabla w|_\infty \frac{2}{(1-|z|)^2},$$

i.e.

$$|\frac{p_n''(w(q_n(z)))}{p_n'(w(q_n(z)))^2}| \le 2 + C(K)|\nabla w|_\infty \frac{2}{(1-|z|)^2}. \tag{3.22}$$

And similarly, as

$$\frac{1}{p_n'(w(q_n(z)))} = \frac{(1-w(q_n(z))\overline{w(z_n)})^2}{1-|w(z_n)|^2}$$

we get, according to (3.21) and (3.2), that

$$|\frac{2}{p_n'(w(q_n(z)))}| \le 2 + C(K)|\nabla w|_\infty \frac{4}{(1-|z|)^2}. \tag{3.23}$$

It follows that

$$|S_n(z)| \le 4 + C(K)\,(1+2\partial\log\varrho)\,|\nabla w|_\infty \frac{2}{(1-|z|)^2}.$$

Hence the sequence w_n satisfies the differential inequality

$$|\Delta w_n| \le (4 + C(K)\left(1 + 2\partial\log\varrho|\nabla w|_\infty \frac{2}{(1-|z|)^2}\right))|\bar\partial w_n \partial w_n|. \tag{3.24}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Without loss of generality we can assume that $w(0)=0$.

Assume that, there exists a sequence of points z_n such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\nabla u(z_n) = 0$.

The idea is to employ Proposition 2.2. We have to prove that, up to same subsequence w_n converges in C^1 metric to the mapping w_0. The last fact together with the relations

$$\nabla w_n(0) = \frac{1-|z_n|^2}{1-|w(z_n)|^2}|\nabla w(z_n)|,$$

and (according to (3.2))

$$\frac{1-|z_n|^2}{1-|w(z_n)|^2} \le C(K)$$

will imply that $\nabla w_0(0) = 0$. This will infer that $w_0 \equiv 0$ which is a contradiction, because w_0 is q.c. harmonic.

Take $v_n(z) = w_n(2z/3)$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

From (3.20) it follows that $g_n = \Delta v_n$ is bounded. By (3.18) v_n is uniformly bounded. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v_n(z) &= H_n(z) + G_n(z) = P[f_n](z) - G[g_n](z) \\ &:= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} P(z,e^{i\varphi}) w_n(\tfrac{2}{3}e^{i\varphi})d\varphi - \int_{\mathbb{U}} G(z,\omega)g_n(\omega)\,dm(\omega), \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

$|z| < 1$, where $dm(\omega)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in the plane. Here H_n is a harmonic function taking the some boundary as v_n in S^1.

We will prove that, up to same sequence ∇v_n converges to ∇v_0.

As ∇v_n is uniformly bounded (see [9]) and $|\nabla G_n| \le \frac{2}{3}|g_n| \le M$ it follows the family of harmonic maps ∇H_n is bounded. Therefore

$$|\nabla^2 H_n| \le C\frac{|\nabla v_n|_\infty}{1-|z|}. \tag{3.26}$$

First of all for $z \neq \omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_z(z,\omega) &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\frac{1}{\omega - z} - \frac{\bar\omega}{1-z\bar\omega}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)}{(z-\omega)(z\bar\omega - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_{\bar z}(z,\omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)}{(\bar z-\bar\omega)(\bar z\omega - 1)}.$$

Using this theorem we can prove that the family of the functions

$$F_n(z,z') = \partial G[g_n](2z) - \partial G[g_n](2z')$$

is uniformly continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \times \overline{\mathbb{U}}$. For, $|g_n|_{U_{1/2}} \le M$.

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial G[g_n](2z) - \partial G[g_n](2z')| \\ &\le \Phi(z,z') := M\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{U}} \left|\frac{1-|\omega|^2}{(z-\omega)(z\bar\omega - 1)} - \frac{1-|\omega|^2}{(z'-\omega)(z'\bar\omega-1)}\right| dm(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that $\Phi(z,z')$ is is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \times \overline{\mathbb{U}}$ and use the fact that

$$\Phi(z,z) \equiv 0.$$

In other world we will prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(z_n, z'_n) = (z,z') \Rightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty}\Phi(z_n,z'_n) = \Phi(z,z'). \tag{3.27}$$

In order to do so, we use the Vitali theorem (see [28, Theorem 26.C]):

Let X be a measure space with finite measure μ, and let $h_n : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a sequence of functions that is uniformly integrable, i.e. such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$, independent of n, satisfying

$$\mu(E) < \delta \implies \int_E |h_n|\, d\mu < \varepsilon. \tag{†}$$

Now: if $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(x) = h(x)$ a.e., then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_X h_n\, d\mu = \int_X h\, d\mu. \tag{‡}$$

In particular, if

$$\sup_n \int_X |h_n|^p\, d\mu < \infty, \quad \textit{for some } p > 1,$$

then (†) *and* (‡) *hold.*

We will use the Vitali theorem to the family

$$h_n(\omega)=|\frac{1-|\omega|^2}{(z_n-\omega)(z_n\bar\omega-1)}-\frac{1-|\omega|^2}{(z'_n-\omega)(z'_n\bar\omega-1)}|.$$

To prove (3.27), it suffices to prove that

$$M:=\sup_{z,z'\in\mathbb{U}}\int_{\mathbb{U}}\left(\frac{1-|\omega|^2}{|z-\omega|\cdot|1-\bar z\omega|}+\frac{1-|\omega|^2}{|z'-\omega|\cdot|1-\bar z'\omega|}|\right)^p dm(\omega)\ <\infty,$$

for $p=3/2$.

Let

$$I_p(z):=\int_{\mathbb{U}}\left(\frac{1-|\omega|^2}{|z-\omega|\cdot|1-\bar z\omega|}\right)^p dm(\omega).$$

For a fixed z, we introduce the change of variables

$$\frac{z-\omega}{1-\bar z\omega}=\xi,$$

or, what is the same

$$\omega=\frac{z-\xi}{1-\bar z\xi}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}I_p(z)&=\int_{\mathbb{U}}\left(\frac{1-|\omega|^2}{|z-\omega|\cdot|1-\bar z\omega|}\right)^p dm(\omega)\\&=\int_{\mathbb{U}}\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{2-p}(1-|\omega|^2)^p}{|\xi|^p\,|1-\bar z\xi|^4}\,dm(\xi)\\&\le(1-|z|^2)^{1/2}\int_0^1\rho^{-1/2}(1-\rho^2)^{3/2}\,d\rho\int_0^{2\pi}|1-\bar z\rho e^{i\varphi}|^{-4}\,d\varphi\\&\le(1-|z|^2)^{1/2}\int_0^1\rho^{-1/2}(1-\rho^2)^{3/2}(1-|z|\rho)^{-3}\,d\rho.\end{aligned}$$

From the elementary inequality

$$\int_0^1\rho^{-1/2}(1-\rho^2)^{3/2}(1-|z|\rho)^{-3}\,d\rho\ \le\ C(1-|z|^2)^{-1/2}$$

it follows that

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{U}}I_p(z)<\infty$$

Finely, by Holder inequality

$$M\le2^{p-1}\sup_{z,z'\in\mathbb{U}}(I_p(z)+I_p(z'))<\infty.$$

This means that Φ is uniformly continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{U}}\times\overline{\mathbb{U}}$. Using the fact that $\Phi(z,z)\equiv0$, it follows that, for $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$|z-z'|\le\delta\Rightarrow|\partial G[g_n](2z)-\partial G[g_n](2z')|\le\Phi(z,z')\le\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, by Arzela-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence which be also denoted by w_n converging to w_0 in C^1 metric on the disk $\frac{1}{2}\overline{\mathbb{U}} = \{z : |z| \le 1/2\}$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} w_n(z) = w_0(z) \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \nabla w_n(z) = \nabla w_0(z) \quad z \in \frac{1}{2}\overline{\mathbb{U}}.$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $|\nabla w|$ is bounded, it follows that for $|z| < 2/3$

$$|\Delta w_n| \le \frac{C_K}{1-|z|}|\nabla w_n|,$$

For $|z| \le 1/2$, it follows that

$$|\Delta w_n| \le C_K^1 |\nabla w_n|.$$

Therefore all the conditions of the Proposition 2.2 are satisfied with $D = \{z : |z| \le 1/2\}$. Thus

$$w_0 \equiv 0$$

and this is a contradiction.

$\square$

4. APPLICATIONS

Corollary 4.1. *If z_n is any sequence of the unit disk, and w a ρ harmonic q.c. selfmapping of the unit disk, then there exist a subsequence of $w_n = p_n \circ w \circ q_n$ converging to ρ_0 harmonic mapping w_0.*

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $z_n \to 1$.

Firs of all

$$w_{nz}\overline{w_{nz}} = |p'|^2 w_q \overline{w}_q q'(z)^2.$$

On the other hand, since w is ρ harmonic it follows that

$$\Psi_w(q_n(z)) = \rho^2(w(q_n(z)))w_{q_n}\overline{w}_{q_n}q_n'(z)^2$$

is analytic. Thus w_n is ρ_n harmonic for

$$\rho_n^2(z) = \frac{\rho^2(w(q_n(z)))}{|p_n'(w(q_n(z)))|^2(1-|z_n|^2)^2}.$$

This means that the Hopf differential

$$\Psi_n(z) = \rho_n^2(z) w_{nz}\overline{w_{nz}}$$

of w_n is analytic. According to Proposition 2.3

$$|\Psi_n(z)| \le \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^4}. \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore by Montel's theorem, up to some subsequence it converges to some analytic function Ψ_0 on the unit disk.

On the other hand, up to some subsequence (see the proof of Theorem 3.1)

$$w_{nz}\overline{w_{nz}}$$

converges to

$$w_{0z}\overline{w_0}_z.$$

It follows that

$$\rho_n^2 \to \rho_0^2(z) = \frac{\Psi_0(z)}{w_{0z}\overline{w_0}_z}.$$

From (3.23)

$$\rho_n^2(z) \le \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^4},$$

which means that the quantity

$$\frac{\Psi_0(z)}{w_{0z}\overline{w_0}_z} = B(z)$$

where $B(z)$ is finite for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

ρ_0 (and subsequently Ψ_0) is not identical to zero because, according to (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0(0) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\rho^2(w(q_n(0)))}{|p'_n(w(q_n(0)))|^2(1-|z_n|^2)^2}\\ &= \frac{\rho(w(1))}{\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1-|z_n|^2}{1-|w(z_n)|^2}} \ge \frac{\rho(w(1))}{C(K)} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

This means that ρ is a metric on the unit disk.

From this it follows that w_0 is ρ_0 harmonic quasiconformal mapping of the unit disk. □

Remark 4.2. It is not known by the author if the w_0 it is quasi-isometry with respect to Euclidean metric. This problem is open even though for ρ being a Euclidean metric. Also it is an interesting question if two sequences z_n and z'_n converges to the some boundary point z_0, do they induce the same harmonic mapping.

Corollary 4.3. *Let w be a harmonic mapping between a C^2 surfaces (M_1, σ_1) and (M_2, σ_2) with C^2 compact boundary, such that σ_1 and σ_2 are approximate analytic metric. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

a) w is a quasiconformal;

b) w is a quasi-isometry;

c) w is a quasi-isometry with respect to Euclidean metric.

Proof. (i) If M_1 and M_2 are compact surfaces without boundary, the theorem is well-known, since every harmonic homeomorphism is a diffeomorphism and consequently quasi-isometry (bi-Lipschitz).

(ii) If M_1 and M_2 are conformaly equivalent to the unit disk, then for $i = 1, 2$ there exists a conformal mapping $h_i : \mathbb{U} \to M_i, i = 1, 2$. Take $w' = h_1^{-1} \circ w \circ h_2$ is a harmonic quasiconformal mapping of the unit disk onto itself with approximate analytic metrics.

Since σ_1 and σ_2 are approximate analytic, and boundary is smooth, surfaces M_1 and M_2 are quasiconvex. Then, by (1.4), there exist $P_1 > 0, P_2 > 0$ such that

$$P_i^{-1}|z_1 - z_2| \le d_{\sigma_i}(z_1, z_2) \le P_i|z_1 - z_2|, z_1, z_2 \in M_i.$$

Thus internal distance d_{σ_i} which is induced by the metric σ_i in M_i and Euclidean metric are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore $b) \Leftrightarrow c)$.

The rest of the proof, follows from the fact that $|\nabla w|$ is bounded bellow and above by positive constants.

By Theorems 3.1 and 2.3, and the fact that w is K q.c.:

$$L(w)(z) = \sup_{|h|=1} |\nabla w(z)h| \leq C_1(K)$$

and

$$0 < C_2(K) \leq l(w)(z) = \inf_{|h|=1} |\nabla w(z)h|.$$

Therefore

$$C_2(K) \leq |df(z)| \leq C_1(K).$$

As

$$d_\rho(f(z_1), f(z_2)) := \inf_{f(z_1), f(z_2) \in \gamma} \int_\gamma \rho(\omega)|d\omega|,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\rho(f(z_1), f(z_2)) &:= \inf_{z_1, z_2 \in f^{-1}(\gamma)} \int_{f^{-1}(\gamma)} \rho(f(z))|df(z)| \\ &\leq M \inf_{z_1, z_2 \in f^{-1}(\gamma)} \int_{f^{-1}(\gamma)} |dz| \\ &= M|z_1 - z_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get

$$1/M|z_1 - z_2| \leq d_\rho(f(z_1), f(z_2)).$$

Since every Euclidean quasi-isometry is quasiconformal, according to the previous fact we obtain $a) \Leftrightarrow b)$.

(iii) The case that the genus of M is bigger than 0 can be done, passing from the local, with respect to the boundary to the global estimates. Namely for every boundary point $t \in \partial M$, there exists a neighborhood, $M(t)$ which is conformally equivalent to the unit disk. The same hold for its image $w(M(t))$. Therefore there exists $C_t(K)$ such that

$$1/C_t(K) \leq l(w)(z) \leq L(w)(z) \leq C_t(K), z \in M_t'(K) \subset M_t(K)$$

where $\partial M_1'(K)$ contains t inside. Using the fact ∂M is compact it follows that there exist positive $C_0(K)$ such that

$$1/C_0(K) \leq l(w)(z) \leq L(w)(z) \leq C_0(K), z \in M_0(K)$$

where $M_0(K)$ is a neighborhood of the boundary ∂M. This finishes the proof.

□

Remark 4.4. In [39, Theorem 13] is proved that, a λ harmonic self-mapping of the unit disk is q.c. if and only if the function

$$\Psi = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2 w_z \overline{w_{\bar{z}}}}{(1 - |w(z)|^2)^2}$$

is bounded. Moreover, concerning the hyperbolic metric, Wan showed that if u is a k-q.c. λ harmonic, then it is a quasi-isometry of the unit disk. See also [8].

The previous method gives a short proof of the theorem, that a q.c. harmonic mapping of the hyperbolic disk onto itself is a quasi-isometry (one direction of Wan theorem).

To do so, denote by $e(w)$ the hyperbolic energy of a q.c. harmonic mapping of the unit disk onto itself:

$$e(w) = \frac{(1-|z|^2)^2}{(1-|w(z)|^2)^2}(|w_z|^2 + |w_{\bar z}|^2).$$

Assume there exists sequences z_n such that $e(u)(z_n) \to \infty$, or $e(u)(z_n) \to 0$. Take $u_n = p_n(u(q_n(z)))$, p_n and q_n Möbius transformations of the unit disk onto itself $p_n(u(z_n)) = 0$ and $q_n(0) = z_n$. Then, $u_n(0) = 0$ and up to same subsequence, $u_n \to u_0$ where u_0 is quasiconformal and harmonic. By [41] $\nabla u_0(0) \neq 0$.

But here we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\nabla u_0|_2^2(0) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} 2|\nabla u_n(0)|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{(1-|z_n|^2)^2}{(1-|w(z_n)|^2)^2}(|w_z(z_n)|^2 + |w_{\bar z}(z_n)|^2) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} e(u)(z_n) = \infty \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\nabla u_0|_2^2(0) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} 2|\nabla u_n(0)|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{(1-|z_n|^2)^2}{(1-|w(z_n)|^2)^2}(|w_z(z_n)|^2 + |w_{\bar z}(z_n)|^2) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} e(u)(z_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

[1] Alessandrini, Giovanni(I-TRST); Nesi, Vincenzo(I-ROME) *Univalent σ-harmonic mappings.* (English summary) Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 158 (2001), no. 2, 155–171.

[2] L. Ahlfors: *Lectures on Quasiconformal mappings,* Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, D. Van Nostrand 1966.

[3] P. Duren, W. Hengartner: *Harmonic mappings of multiply connected domains.* Pacific J. Math. 180 (1997), no. 2, 201 - 220.

[4] R. Fehlmann, M. Vuorinen: *Mori's theorem for n-dimensional quasiconformal mappings.* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I, Math. 13, No.1, 111-124 (1988).

[5] E. Hopf: *A remark on linear elliptic differential equations of second order,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 3, 791-793 (1952).

[6] G. L. Goluzin: *Geometric function theory*, Nauka Moskva 1966.

[7] J. Jost, *Univalency of harmonic mappings between surfaces.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 324 (1981), 141–153.

[8] M. Knezevic, M. Mateljevic: *On the quasi-isometries of harmonic quasiconformal mappings* Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2007; 334 (1) 404-413.

[9] D. Kalaj, M. Pavlovic: *On quasiconformal self-mappings of the unit disk satisfying the PDE* $\Delta u = g$, Transactions of AMS (in press).

[10] D. Kalaj, M. Pavlovic: *Boundary correspondence under harmonic quasiconformal homeomorfisms of a half-plane*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math. 30,

[11] D. Kalaj, M. Mateljević: *Inner estimate and quasiconformal harmonic maps between smooth domains*, Journal d'Analise Math. 100. 117-132, (2006).

[12] ______, *On certain nonlinear elliptic pde and quasiconfomal mapps between euclidean surfaces*, arXiv:0804.2785.

[13] D. Kalaj: *Quasiconformal harmonic mapping between Jordan domains* Math. Z. Volume 260, Number 2, 237-252, 2008.

[14] D. Kalaj: *Quasiconformal harmonic functions between convex domains*, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Ser. 76(90), 3-20 (2004).

[15] D. Kalaj: *Harmonic functions and harmonic quasiconformal mappings between convex domains*, Thesis, Beograd 2002.

[16] D. Kalaj: *Lipschitz spaces and harmonic mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math. 2009 Vol 34, (2009). (arXiv:0901.3925v1).

[17] H. Kneser: *Lösung der Aufgabe 41*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. **35** (1926), 123-124.

[18] O. Kellogg: *Harmonic functions and Green's integral,* Trans. Amer. Math. soc. vol.13 (1912) pp. 109-132.

[19] H. Lewy: *On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain in one-to-one mappings,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 42. (1936), 689-692.

[20] F. D. Lesley; S. E. Warschawski: *Boundary behavior of the Riemann mapping function of asymptotically conformal curves.* [J] Math. Z. 179, 299-323 (1982).

[21] R. Laugesen: *Injectivity can fail for higher-dimensional harmonic extensions.* Complex Variables Theory Appl. 28 (1996), no. 4, 357–369.

[22] Li, P.; Tam, L. *Uniqueness and regularity of proper harmonic maps.* Ann. of Math. (2) 137 (1993), no. 1, 167–201.

[23] ______ *Uniqueness and regularity of proper harmonic maps. II.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993), no. 2, 591–635.

[24] O. Martio: *On harmonic quasiconformal mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I 425 (1968), 3-10.

[25] O. Martio: *An example of a harmonic map between Euclidean balls*, unpublished manuscript, 1975.

[26] A. D. Melas: *An example of a harmonic map between Euclidean balls* Proc. Amer.Math. Soc. 117 (1993), no. 3, 857-859.

[27] P. Hartman; A. Wintner, *On the behavior of the solutions of real binary differential systems at singular points.* Amer. J. Math. 75, (1953). 117–126.

[28] P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory,* D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1950.

[29] R. Hamilton: *Harmonic maps of manifolds with boundary.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 471. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975. i+168 pp.

[30] Hengartner, W.; Schober, G. *Harmonic mappings with given dilatation.* J. London Math. Soc. (2) 33 (1986), no. 3, 473–483.

[31] E. Heinz, *On certain nonlinear elliptic differential equations and univalent mappings,* J. d' Anal. 5, 1956/57, 197-272.

[32] L. Hörmander: *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Third edition. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 7. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990. xii+254 pp.

[33] L. Hörmander: *Notions of convexity*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 127, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.

[34] C. Pommerenke: *Univalent functions.* Vanderhoeck & Riprecht, 1975.

[35] C. Pommerenke and S.E. Warschawski: *On the quantitative boundary behavior of conformal maps.* Comment. Math. Helv. 57, 107-129 (1982).

[36] D. Partyka and K. Sakan: *On bi-Lipschitz type inequalities for quasiconformal harmonic mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.. Vol 32, pp. 579-594 (2007).

[37] M. Pavlović: *Boundary correspondence under harmonic quasiconformal homeomorfisms of the unit disc*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Vol 27, (2002) 365-372.

[38] R. L. Range: *On a Lipschitz Estimate for Conformal Maps in the Plane* Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 58, No. 1 1976, pp. 375-376.

[39] Wan, T. *Constant mean curvature surface, harmonic maps, and universal Teichmller space.* J. Differential Geom. 35 (1992), no. 3, 643–657.

[40] C. Wang: A sharp form of Mori's theorem on Q-mappings, Kexue Jilu, 4 (1960), 334-337.

[41] R. Schoen; S-T. Yau, *On univalent harmonic maps between surfaces.* Invent. Math. 44 (1978), no. 3, 265–278.

[42] Schulz, F. *Univalent solutions of elliptic systems of Heinz-Lewy type*. (French summary) Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linaire 6 (1989), no. 5, 347–361.

[43] Schulz, F. *Regularity theory for quasilinear elliptic systems and Monge-Ampe'‘re equations in two dimensions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1445. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. xvi+123 pp.

[44] S. E. Warschawski *On Differentiability at the Boundary in Conformal Mapping* Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 12, No. 4. (Aug., 1961), pp. 614-620.

[45] A. Zygmund: *Trigonometric Series I*. Cambrige University Press, 1958.

UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, CETINJSKI PUT B.B. 81000 PODGORICA, MONTENEGRO

E-mail address: `davidk@cg.yu`